LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Office - Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
OCT 12 1953
HAROLD B. WILLEY, Clerk

No. 15

In the Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1953

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD, *Petitioner*

v.

LOCAL UNION NO. 1229, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS (AFL), *Respondent*

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

---

OBJECTION OF RESPONDENT TO MOTION OF JEFFERSON STANDARD BROADCASTING COMPANY FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM STATEMENT, AS AMICUS CURIAE

LOUIS SHERMAN
PHILIP R. COLLINS
Attorneys for Respondent
1200 15th Street, N. W.
Washington 5, D. C.

In the Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1953

No. 15

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD, *Petitioner*

v.

LOCAL UNION NO. 1229, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, (AFL), *Respondent*

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

OBJECTION OF RESPONDENT TO MOTION OF JEFFERSON STANDARD BROADCASTING COMPANY FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM STATEMENT, AS AMICUS CURIAE

To the Honorable, The Chief Justice of the United States and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

Pursuant to Rule 27 (9) (c) Respondent files this objection stating its reasons for withholding consent to the Motion of Jefferson Standard Broadcasting Company to file a Memorandum Statement as *amicus curiae:*

1. The motion is not timely (Rule 27 (9) (a) and (c)). Five months have elapsed since this

Court granted the writ of certiorari (May 4, 1953). Yet the Company, which is on the same side as the Petitioner, waited until the week preceding oral argument and the very day upon which Respondent's brief was required to be served upon Petitioner (October 5, 1953) to mail its letter requesting consent. Not a single fact or reason is offered by the Company in its motion as an excuse for the delay.

2. The Company has not been excluded from this case. It chose not to intervene in the court below although it was given full notice of the pendency of the proceedings (R. 9). Its interest in the case was no more and no less there than it is here.

Respectfully submitted,

LOUIS SHERMAN
PHILIP R. COLLINS
Attorneys for Respondent

1200 15th Street, N. W.
Washington 5, D. C.